

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/662,908	09/15/2003		Martin Vaupel	CS-7907LeA 36,217	1270
34469	7590	11/02/2005		EXAMINER	
BAYER CE	ROPSCIE	ENCE LP	BULL, CHRISTOPHER		
Patent Depar				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 BAYER				ARTONI	TAI ER NOMBER
PITTSBURGH, PA 15205-9741				1655	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/662,908	VAUPEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher Bull	1655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 June 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

The receipt of IDS papers filed Apr. 20 and June 7, 2005 is acknowledged. FPPS will designate farnesyl-pyrophosphate syntase. Claims 1-13 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5, drawn to a method of identifying fungicides, based on inhibition of FPPS activity, classified in class 435, subclass 21.
- II. Claims 6-7 and 13, drawn to compounds which inhibit FPPS enzymatic activity, classified in class 514, subclass 183.
- III. Claim 8, drawn to a composition containing an inhibitor of FPSS, along with an extender and/or surfactant, classified in class 504, subclass 358.
- IV. Claims 9-12, drawn to a method of identifying fungicides, based on inhibiting FPPS expression, classified in class 435, subclass 32.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I through IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).

In the instant case, none of the groups is disclosed as capable of use together.

Further, neither of the two methods pertains to any of the compositions of matter as a process of making or as a process of using, so these methods are distinct from the compositions of matter. The two methods are distinct, one from the other, in the steps of and the objective of measuring an inhibition: the methods of Group I measure direct

Art Unit: 1655

inhibition of FPPS enzymatic activity, while those of Group IV measure the ability of an inhibitor to depress the expression of FPPS activity. Compounds that are positive in one method are not necessarily positive in the other. The compounds are distinct from the composition because the latter requires additional non-active ingredients (e.g., extenders, etc), whereas the former are "chemical compounds that are suitable for controlling fungi" (Disclosure, page 7, line 29). One would not have to practice the various inventions at the same time to practice just one invention alone ((MPEP § 808.01).

The inventions discussed above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each one of the above inventions is not coextensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration of patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification (class/subclass), a requirement for independent searches as explained above, and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1655

Election of Species

Claim 18 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the five compounds of Formulas A to E. Applicant doesn't disclose nor examiner discern any common structural core to these compounds. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). If Group II is chosen, an election of species to be examined is similarly required.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim.

Art Unit: 1655

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Bull whose telephone number is (571) 272-1327. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce R. Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Bull Patent Examiner Art Unit 1655

cb

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE
PRIMARY EXAMINER